Revenue (1932) Departmen

MS. SERIES.

G.O. No. 144

DATED 20-1-1932

[Despatch Abstract.]

[illegible] - (Bellary) - Hadagalli taluk - conversion into [illegible] - [illegible] - Notifications published and proposition abstained - [illegible].

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Fo	2324	Rev	2. 11-31				
"	4308	Law (Rev)	25- 11. 31.				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Fo	2263	Rev	5/11/32				
Rv	474	Rev	22.2.38				
GO	297	Rev	6-2-39				
"	1039	"	4 Mar				

OM No 420/C/31-(3) · 7-12-3

Jurisdiction - (Bellary) - Divisions and taluks" - Hadagalli taluk - conversion into a sub taluk - Proposition statement and draft notifications - G.O. No 2324, Rev dt 2.11.31.

—

The Board of Revenue is requested to expedite the proposition statement and draft notification called for in para 2 of G.O No 2324, Rev dt 2-11-31

pcs of (I)

BD of Rev.
(LRs)
2.1.32

5-12-31.

7/12/31

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

DEPARTMENT.

Received *Registered*

From (Name) A.M.A.C. Galletti esq., I.A.,I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Bellary

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Board of Revenue,Land Revenue & Settlement,

Madras.

Ref.C. *No.* 5846/31.I. *dated* 17.12.31 19

Sir,

Enclosures

Spare Copies

---sdiction - Divisions and taluks - Hadagalli taluk

Conversion into a sub taluk -

Ref. This Office references C. 5846/31 dated 28.11.31 and 10.12.31.

The Board will have observed that the draft notification for the conversion of the Hadagalli Taluk into a Sub Taluk proposes 1.1.32 as the date of the change. - That is only a nominal date. It must not be understood that I regard it as a possible date for actually completing the change.

2. Some villages of the Hadagalli Taluk have to be transferred to Hospet and Harpanahalli Taluks. The jurisdiction of the Firkas will also undergo change. --- Moreover the records of the villages to be transferred to other taluks have to be sorted. I have arranged to take up the Jamabandi of the Harpanahalli taluk early in April next. There would be confusion and much clamour from the village officers and the ryots to the change just before Jamabandi; and a reduced taluk office cannot possibly do the sorting of the records and the checking of the account

3. In the circumstances I have the honour to point out that effect cannot be given to the proposals till next -- fasli. I have already proposed the abolition of 4 Revenue Inspectors besides two in Hadagalli Taluk from the next --

2x next fasli. These and the other changes may take effect from the beginning of next fasli.

for Collector

R.V. 17.12.

17/12

Copy to Secretary, Revenue Department (with a D.O.)

C.F. 31

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

JURISDICTION - (Bellary) - Divisions and Taluks - Redistribution - Siruguppa Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office - Abolition - Proposals - Draft notifications for the conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office - Submitted to Government.

ENCLOSURES.

No. .....

.....

Spare copies .....

Reference. C. No 5185/31-7.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 18th December 1931,

From A. R. MacEwen, Esq., M.C., I.C.S.,
Secretary,
Land Revenue and
~~The~~ Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

A. R. Cox Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read the following:

I. Letter from A.M.A.C. Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A.1, dated 28th November 1931.

II. Letter from A.M.A.C. Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A, dated 2nd December 1931.

- -

Ref: My letter No.Ref.3724 dated 11-9-31.

- -

In their Order No.Mis.4308 dated 25th November 1931,

Government

Government have sanctioned the abolition of the post of Stationary Sub Magistrate, Sirugappa, but not of the establishment of the Court and no orders have been received on my proposal to appoint a Sheristadar Magistrate with the reduced magisterial establishment suggested in my letter referred to above.

2. Two proposition statements are enclosed for approval. It will be seen that I propose the conversion of the Taluk Head Accountant's post into a Taluk Sarishtadar's post. The reason is that the Taluk Magistrate will not be able to manage all the magisterial work in the busy revenue season. One magisterial clerk out of the two now working and the menial staff of the

35-5-0
27-4-0
108-1-0

court will have to be retained for the magisterial work of the Taluk and Sarishtadar magistrates. The net reduction on the two proposition statements is Rs.108-1-0 per mensem.

3. It will be convenient if effect is given to the change on the 15th January 1932.

III.

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No. 4201 C/31-1, dated the 7th December 1931.

IV.

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A.1, dated 10th December 1931.

V.

Letter from A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31, dated 10th December 1931

Ref: My letter No.C.5846 dated 2nd December 1931

In para 3 of my letter referred to above I proposed that effect be given to the changes on 15-1-1932. I have since, in the interests of economy, kept vacant one of the clerk's post in the Stationary Sub Magistrate Office at Sirugappa. The res

of the establishment is now working under the Taluk Magistrate and is necessary as a court cannot function without an establishment and the taluk clerks have no time to attend to this work.

2. The Accountant-General has now intimated to me that he has kept the pay of the establishment drawn after 1-9-31 under objection in the books of his office. A copy of his letter is enclosed. In their Order No.3468 Law (General) dated 12-9-31 Government approved my action "in having effecte the closure of the Sub Magistrate's Office" in anticipation of sanction and in their subsequent order No.Mis.4308 dated 25th November 1931,"they sanctioned the abolition of the office" the temporary closure of which had been ordered in the previou order. "Office" is used in both these orders of the Office post of Sub Magistrate. The Accountant-General has taken "Sub Magistrate's Office" to mean "the Sub Magistrate and his office." This of course was never meant and never suggeste in the correspondence and could not have been meant as the court was still working though under a different presiding officer and a court cannot function without its establishmen The Accountant-General suggests I should get Government to ratify my action in retaining the establishment. I submit tha no ratification is required as I was obviously right and the Accountant-General may be informed that his interpretation of the Government Orders is obviously wrong.

- - -

Copy of Accountant-General's Ref.No.Jus.2/718/Bella dated 5/7-12-31 to the Collector of Bellary.

- - -

G.O.No.3468 Law (General) dated 12-9-31 and G.O.No. 4308 Law (Genl.) dated 25-11-31 - abolition of the Sty.

Sub

Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa

In G.O.No.3468 Law (General) dated 12-9-31 Government had ordered the temporary closure with effect from 1-9-31 of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa. Instead of closing down the whole establishment with effect from 1-9-31 the Sub Magistrate's post alone was abolished on 1-9-31 and pay has been drawn for the clerical and inferior establishments for September 1931 and October 1931. The interpretation of the Government Order is not correct, especially in the light of G.O. No.4308 Law (General) dated 25-11-31. It should be considered that the establishment has been continued without sanction after 1-9-31. The amounts are being kept under objection in the books of this office. Necessary steps may be taken to abolish the office forthwith and orders of Government may be obtained to regularise the irregularity.

REFERENCE:-

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposal to increase the staff and pay of the Taluk Sarishtadar in the Sirugappa Taluk.

3. It is presumed that Government will notify the abolition of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa, in due course.

4. The Board submits the draft notification regarding th conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into an independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office and supports the Collector's recommendation that the changes may be given effect to from 15th January 1932. Proposals regarding the staff in the proposed independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office, Hadagalli, are under the consideration

received 35 [illegible] of the Board and the proposition statement will be submitted shortly.

5. The Board requests that the orders of the Government may be communicated to the Collector direct.

For Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with draft notifications, proposition statements of the Sirugappa Taluk, Copy of the Collector's proceedings and copy of petition.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, through the Accountant-General, with proposition statements.

Notification.

The Governor in Council is pleased to direct that with effect from 1-2-1932 the undermentioned five villages of the present Hadagalli taluk in the Bellary District shall transferred to the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Hospet.

1. Shrotrium Benakapuram.
2. Bellahunisi.
3. Marabbihalli.
4. Varadapuram.
5. Anandevanahalli.

With effect from 1-2-1932 the undermentioned twenty four villages of the present Hadagalli taluk shall be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Harpanahalli

1. Itiki.
2. Mahajanadahalli.
3. Adavimallanakere.
4. Varakanahalli.
5. Kogali.
6. Kogali samuha-Kodihalli.
7. Kannehalli.
8. Nellukudiri
9. Makarabbi
10. Byalahunisi.
11. Hirebannimatti.
12. Kattebennuru.
13. Isapuram.
14. Harakanahalu.
15. Kannayakanahalli (Shrotrium)
16. Hulikatte.
17. Herada.
18. Potulakatti (Shrotrium)
19. Virapuram.
20. Dasanahalli.
21. Budanuru.

22. Holalu.

23. Dombarahalli.

24. Mailar.

With effect from 1-2-1932 the undermentioned 59 villages of the present Hadagalli Taluk shall be converted into an independent Deputy Tahsildar's charge.

1. Hampapatnam.
2. Guladahalu.
3. Halagapuram.
4. Anekallu.
5. Kechchinabandi.
6. Pinjariheggadahalu.
7. Hiresobati.
8. Bachigondanahalli.
9. Ankasamudram.
10. Tambarahalli.
11. Kittanuru.
12. Muttukuru.
13. Rameswarabandi.
14. Siginahalli.
15. Bannigola.
16. Basarakodu.
17. Vyasapuram(Shrotrium).
18. Kadlabalu.
19. Chintalapalle.
20. Hagaribommanahalli.
21. Byasigaderi.
22. Enigi.
23. Gaddekeri -Kodihalli.
24. Enigi-Basapuram.
25. Hampasagaram.
26. Bannikallu.
27. Hanumagondanahalli.
28. Gaddekere.
29. Adivikyadigihalli.
30. Morageri.
31. Sonna.
32. Uttangi.
33. Kalivi.
34. Sogi.
35. Hugaluru.
36. Nandihalli.
37. Mudenuru.
38. Holagondi.
39. Mallanakere.
40. Hakandi.
41. Sovinahalli.
42. Kombali.
43. Koyilaragatti.
44. Dasarahalli.
45. Kottanakallu.
46. Huvvinahadagalli.
47. Devagondanahalli.
48. Halutimmalapuram.
49. Navali.
50. Ayyanahalli.
51. Timmalapuram.
52. Rangapuram (Shrotrium)
53. Magalam.
54. Hirenadagalli.
55. Birabbi.
56. Anguru.
57. Kotihalu.
58. Tumbinakeri.
59. Manihalli.

Cy. XXXIII—10- 6-12.

Code Form 5.

13

Statement of Proposition

(*See* Chapter

Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Change.										
	Present scale.							Proposed scale.			
	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.		Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11
			Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.
			22 General administration & Establishment of the Siriguppa Taluk							Administ	
1	1	Jahzildar	200 -	10 -	300 -	261	2	1	Jahsildar	200 -	10
	1	Head Account-tant	100 -		100 -	100	-	1	Tq Shirastha-dar	120 -	
	9	Clerks	35-35	1 8	50 -	427	8	60	Clerks	35-35	1
				1 -	60 -						1
	1	Special Stamp clerk	- -	- -	- -	47	8	1	Spl Stamp clerk	- -	-
	1	Chrotb	20 -	1 -	35 -	28	12	1	Chrotb	20 -	1
	1	Duffadar	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Attender (Tq Office)	20 -	1
	1	Huchi	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Duffadar	12 -	1
	1	Attender	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Huchi	12 -	1
	21	Peons	12 -	1 -	18 -	325	8	1	Attender	12 -	1
								23	Peons	12 -	1
	2	Revenue Inspectors	60 -	4 -	80 -	150	-	2	Rev Inspectors	60 -	4
	2	Revenue Inspectors	35-35	1 8	50 -			2	Rev Inspectors	35-35	1
				1 -	60 -	95	-				1
						1483	14				
								Abkari Est.			
	1	Abkari clerk	35-35	1 8	50 -	47	8	1	Abkari clerk	35-35	1
				1 -	60 -						1

Dated 191 .

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that it is framed with due regard to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension admissible under the rules is proposed to be granted.

To

The Secretary to Government,

thro' the Accountant-General,

r Revision of Establishment.

iole 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

		Proposition.						Order sanctioning authority.
		Permanent		Temporary.				
	Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs.	
alun	Office Est	Tg Est	Voted	Pay of Est				Permanent
Office	Staff	Menial	moving	to the abolition				of the Shj Submagistrate's office Sirsi Gaz.
								in G.O. 4308
								25-XI-31.
300 -	261 2							
120 -	120 -	20 -		X				
50 -	47 8	47 8		X				
60 -								
	47 8							
15 -	28 12							
15 -	28 12	28 12		X				
18 -	15 8							
	2½ pl pay							
18 -	16 8							
18 -	15 8							
18 -	356 8	31 -		X				
80 -	150 -							
50 -								
60 -	95 -							
	1611 2	127 4						
0 -	47 8							
0 -								

ims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference
arily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 87.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will b
written across these four columns.

epartment

Signature

Officer's Designation

Try. XXXIII—10- 5-12.

Code Form 5.

Statement of Proposition

(*See* Chapter 5,

Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Change.									
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.			
	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.			Rs.	Rs.
								Sivaganga.		
								Establishment of Stnj. Subordinate Executive and Judicial Courts		
								24 Administration of justice Criminal Courts		
	1	Stnj Subordinate	150 -	5 -	200 -	180 9				
	2	Clerks	35-35 -	1 8	50 -	96 -				
				1 -	60 -					
	1	Attendant	20 -	1 -	35 -	28 12				
	2	Peons	12 -	1 -	18 -	31 -				
	6					335 5				

Dated 191 .

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify the
to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension

To

The Secretary to Government,

thro' the Accountant-General,

›r Revision of Establishment.

tiole 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

		Proposition.						
		Permanent		Temporary.				
	Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Order sanctioning authority.
Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs a.	Rs. a.		Rs. a.		Rs. a.	
Sub abolition sanctioned in C.O. No. 4308								
25.XI.31								
of Sub magistrate and Bench magistrate Pay of Establishment:								
			180 9					
			95 -					
			28 12					
			31 -					
			335 5					

ns to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference ily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will b written across these four columns.

partment

Signature

Officer's Designation

Proceedings of the Collector, Bellary.

A.Galletti Esq., M.A.,I.C.S.,

Ref. C.No.5846/31-A-1. Dated 28th November 1931.

Read:- G.O.No. Mis. 2324, Revenue, dated 2-11-31 ordering Hadagalli Taluk to be reduced to a Sub Taluk after transferring some villages to the Hospet and Harpanahalli taluk;

2. Objection mahazar presented to the Collector at Hadagalli on 24th November 1931 and other objection petitions presented to the Collector on tour;

Heard objections at Hirehadagalli and otherplaces.

Order.

The Hadagalli taluk is to be reduced to a Sub Taluk for reasons of retrenchment, some villages going to Harpanahalli and others to Hospet. Final orders on details will be passed by Government after publicity has been given to the proposals and objections have been considered. Accordingly the Collector, on receipt of the Government orders, directed the proposals of the Divisional Officer to be tom-tommed and a notice to be affixed in the chavadi of each village concerned and has also toured through the villages, and summoned meetings of village officers and ryots at Hirehadagalli, Itigi and Ballahunsi and has personally heard all objections.

The educated public of the taluk also waited upon him at Hadagalli and presented a mahazar petition a copy of which will be submitted to Government through the Board of Revenue. It is observed in the mahazar (i) that the Hospet and Harpanahalli taluk offices cannot undertake additional work; (ii) the taluk head-quarters will be too distant from some of the villages to the transferred, ryots having to travel 40-45 miles; (iii) the cultural advancement of Hadagalli taluk will be hindered;

It was also argued at the meetings that the Local Self

Government Ministry were likely to resile from the proposal to give Hadagalli a separate Taluk Board, for which the people have long been agitating, and this seemed to be felt as a strong objection.

3. Separate Taluk Board.-

Under section 3 clauses(a) and (b) of the Boards Act, Government have power to differentiate local fund and revenue taluks in special circumstances. The special circumstances exist in this case. It is recommended that the Local Self Government Ministry proceed with the proposals already formulated to constitute the existing Hadagalli taluk into a taluk board area. It is not advisable to modify the prpposals so as to increase the area of the Harpanahalli and Hospet taluks to coincide with the new revenue taluks. The Collector feels that the respectable and legitimate aspiration of the people of the old Hadagalli taluk to manage their own local affairs ought not to be disappointed. The principle is that those who pay the local rates should have the spending of them and there is always a danger that Boards for large and arbitrarily fixed areas cannot be trusted to be fair to all the component parts.

4. Argument from over work of Hospet and Harpanahalli Taluk Offices.

This also is a legitimate and respectable argument. The Collector proposes to reduce the original proposals of the Divisional Officer to meet the objections. Hospet especially is already a heavy taluk. Very little can be added to it. The Collector proposes to add only 5 villages which are only 10-12 miles from Hospet by good metalled roads served by buses and only 4 miles from the new firka head-quarters, Narayana-devarakeri by the same roads. The villages concerned are nearer to Hospet than to Hadgalli and between them and Hadagalli lies an unbridged river that buses cannot cross when it is in flood. At the meeting held at Ballahunsi on

25th November 1931 the representatives of these villages declared themselves in favour of the transfer.

5. Harpanahalli taluk is large in area but not very heavy. The Tahsildar can manage a few more villages (1) near Harpanahalli (2) with good communications with Harpanahalli (3) with not too heavy a beriz. Itigi, a firka head-quarter town on the cross roads with 7 villages near it, fulfils these conditions. At the meeting held at Itigi on 25th November 1931 the people of Itigi (which was not included in the Divisional Officer's proposals)and Mahajanadahalli declared themselves in favour of the change, those of 6 other villages against it as an unnecessary innovation, but had no other ground of objection. The transfer of these 8 villages to Harpanahalli will accordingly be recommended.

6. It was proposed to transfer most of the villages of the Hirahadagalli firka, which are about equally distant from Hadagalli and Harpanahalli. It is to the westernmost villages in this firka that the mahazar petition refers when it speaks of a distance of 40 to 45 miles. These villages are in fact about 20 miles from Hadagalli by metalled road and Harpanahalli another is about/20 miles further by metalled road. But the assumption that the people of Mailar, Holalu or Virapuram would have to make the great detour via Hadagalli to reach Harpanahalli is incorrect. There is a direct road only 16 miles long instead of 40 from Holalu to Harpanahalli. This is not marked as a road on the maps but as a matter of fact 13 miles of it were put in good order out of famine funds at one time and if little but the mile-stones are left of the work then done, this is immaterial since these tracks are no worse than the main roads in these parts. They run on gravel and red stone and the natural surface is better than that of the main roads which are maintained but not well maintained. The Collector, having explored part of the old famine road on a bicycle, is satisfied that the communications of the end villages of Hirahadagalli firka with Harpanahalli will be quite satisfactory besides being

shorter than those with Hadagalli and the people of those parts agreed and welcomed the proposal to be attached to Harpanahalli for revenue purposes. They are already under the magisterial jurisdiction of Harpanahalli. It was suggested at the Hirahadagalli meeting that there were hills, jungle and wild beasts on the way to Harpanahalli; but this could not have been seriously meant. The road passes the hills through so-called forest, but it passes through a gap only half-a-mile long and 150 feet high.

4. At the Hirahadagalli meeting only the representatives of of that place and some other villages adjoining it opposed the transfer of Hirahadagalli firka to Harpanahalli. Hirahadagalli is only 9 miles from Hadagalli and has a bus service to that place. The Collector considers the objections valid and will not propose the transfer of the six villages nearest to Hadagalli. He will propose the transfer only of the remaining 16 villages of the firka. The head-quarters of the new firka maybe Holalu, where there is a post office. Some 14 villages of the Teligi firka of Harpanahalli will be added to the Holalu firka, and the Harpanahalli firkas will be redistributed and continue to be with Holalu, four only. The Hadagalli Sub Taluk will have only two firkas, Hadagalli and Tambrahalli. The Itigi and Hirehadagalli firkas will be abolished. The Revenue Divisional Officer will submit redistribution proposals for the firkas.

To

The District Collector,

B e l l a r y.

Honoured Sir,

The humble memorial of the inhabitants of the Revenue Taluk of HuvinHadagalli most respectfully showeth as follows:-

Abolition of the Hadagalli Taluk, Bellary District.

It is understood that Government have passed final orders for the abolition of the revenue taluk of Hadagalli and for the conversion of the area covered by the said taluk into a Deputy Tahsildar's division. A few months ago the public voice raised itself in clear and unmistakable terms against the acceptance of any such recommendation from the District authorities. The proposal was not published for criticism or for eliciting public opinion. The public had no opportunity whatever to have their say. At least our representatives in the Legislative Council should have been consulted so that they might have acted on a mandate from this part of the Electorate. This important news has therefore created everlasting stir and unrest among the populace of the taluk. The Government, it should be said, have taken a hasty step. On what grounds the authorities have recommended its abolition remains a mystery. At any rate there can be no valid grounds which can satisfy the general public.

2. Under the pretext of retrenchment the Government has succumbed to the unconstitutional recommendations of the local authorities which are most suicidal to the interest of the populace. Thus in this over-anxiety to save 4 or 5 thousands of rupees the Government ignored the fundamental principle that the Government is for the people.

3. The division of the country into provinces, districts

and taluks is mainly for (1) administrative convenience and 2) for the ruling authorities to come in close contact with the subjects to hear to their grievances and do justice.

4. The abolition of Hadagalli taluk serves neither of these urposes. It does not serve any administrative convenience. The resent scheme of ceding the Southern part to Harpanahalli nd Eastern portion to Hospet extends the jurisdiction of these aluks vastly. As a result of this the taluk officials who re already complaining of heavy work cannot certainly discharge he additional duties towards the people of the Hadagalli taluk o meet their conveniences. The extension of this area makes he administration most inefficient. It is against the very nherent principle of 'decentralization.'

5. Again the Taluk Headquarters will be far away from a large number of villages. The poor ryots at whose doors famine is already staring will have to travel more than 40-45 miles to get their grievances remedied. Hence the adverse conse - quences of depriving the privileges to the populace of the taluk are manifold and far reaching.

This is the most ancient taluk of the district yet in a backward condition. The amalgamation of Hadagalli, Hospet and Harpanahalli will cut it off from the little scope which this people have for this cultural advancement due to the associa - tion of official and non-officials at a central taluk centre onvenient for and easily accessible to their mutual contact ound the headquarters of a taluk as it is now situated.

Even the purpose of the Government will not be erved. It will take probably some years before this fact is cknowledged by the authorities who seem to have been bent upon etrenchment at any cost with the least consideration of conse - iences. The Government has learnt a lesson in our own district. 2 years ago Siruguppa was abolished ~~on~~ in executing a similar re- renchment measure. It served no purpose. Government itself fel the administrative inconvenience. The public opinion expressed

itself in clear terms. It was not in vain. The Government recognised the necessity for the revival. Thus the taluk was restored. But the transaction was an irksome period both for the ruler and the ruled alike. The process of abolition and revival is too painful to go through.

The Government we have hope is ever ready to respond to public call for setting every wrong right. We are convinced that the grievance under which we groan in this particular matter is not intentionaly perpetuated by the Government who have acted bona fide on certain recommendations which were not published for public opinion as it should have been. We shall thereore rest content if Government would gracefully invite public opinion in their proposal without rushing to give effect to it from 1st January 1932 as rumoured. The matter may be held over for sufficient consideration in all respects after eliciting the local public views on the subject. May we pray for this much consideration at the hands of the Government who will not hesitate to correct or modify their decision in the light of public opinion.

It is never too late to mend.

The Government has forgotten its own rule. According to B.S.O. Rule 201 page 44 the Government in all such cases should notify the proposals beforehand in the District Gazette.

We beg to remain,

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient

inhabitants of Hadagalli Taluk.

(Sd) K. Santhappa,
President, Taluk Board.

(Sd) S.Kaistappa Naik
President, Union Board,
Hadagalli.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.** C.F. 31

CURRENT No. 21 C 9

Received 25-DEC-1931 19 Registered 4·1·32 19

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT * REVENUE DEPARTMENT *

Subject.

Jurisdiction - (Bellary) - Divisions and taluks -Redistributio

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

Reference C. No 5185/31-8

Station Madras *Dated the* 23rd December 1931

From
A.R.MacEwen Esq., M.C.,I.C.S.,
Secretary,
The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
Separate ~~Revenue~~.

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

C.A.Souter Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement

[illegible] Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

E.F.Thomas, Esq., C.I.E.,I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Excise.

Read the following:-

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,Collector of Bellary, Ref. C.No.5846/31-A-1, dated 28th November 1931:-

Ref.- Proceedings No. C.5185/31-4, dated 6th November 1931.

2, Ref.No. C.5185/31-5, dated 19th November 1931.

Reduction of Hadagalli taluk to a Sub Taluk.

I enclose (i) copy of proceedings of even date in the

matter, (ii) objection mahazar in original (iii) draft notification for transfer of villages, (iv) Propositio statement for reduction of establishment reducing the clerks from 11 to 6 and the peons from 20 to 10. The Tahsildar contends that the Deputy Tahsildar will not be able to manage with less than 8 clerks and 16 peons. I think he can do with 6 clerks and half the present number of peons. I am aware that other Sub Taluks (dependent) do with 3 clerks and 2 peons and no head accountant. But so small an establishment for an independent sub taluk of this area (260835 acres) and beriz (Rs.1,42,449) in a zone where crops are always liable to fail and there is much inspection and loans work would spell inefficiency.

****

II.

Board's Reference C.No. 5185/31-6, dated 7th December 1931.

III.

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A.,I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref. C.No. 5846/31-A-1, dated 10th December 1931:-

Ref.- Board's Ref. C.No. 5185/31-6, dated 7th December 1931.

Fresh draft notification is enclosed.

2. The shops in the present Hadagalli taluk and in the proposed sub taluk are noted below.

	Hadagalli taluk.	Sub Taluk.
Arrack	6	8
Ganja	4	3
Toddy	26	21

The abkari work in the proposed sub taluk will not therefore appreciably decrease or warrant the reduction of the abkari clerk.

3. I have already gone too far in the reduction of the

establishment at Hadagalli. No further reduction is possible. The abkari clerk must be retained.

2. The proposition statements are returned.

****

IV.

Board's Reference No. C.5185/31-7, dated 18th December 1931

V.

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref. C.No. 5846/31-A-1, dated 17th December 1931.-

Ref.- This office References C.5846/31, dated 28th November 1931 and 10th December 1931.

The Board will have observed that the draft notification for the conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into a Sub Taluk proposes 15-1-1932 as the date of the change. That is only a nominal date. It must not be understood that I regard it as a possible date for actually completing the change.

2. Some villages of the Hadagalli taluk have to be transferred to Hospet and Harpanahalli taluks. The Jurisdiction of the firkas will also undergo change. Moreover the records of the villages to be transferred to other taluks have to be sorted. I have arranged to take up the jamabandi of the Harpanahalli taluk early in April next. There would be confusion and much clamour from the village officers and the ryots to the change just before jamabandi; and a reduced taluk office cannot possibly do the sorting of the records and the checking of the accounts.

3. In the circumstances I have the honour to point out that effect cannot be given to the proposals till next fasli. I have already proposed the abolition of 4 Revenue Inspectors besides two in Hadagalli taluk from the next fasli. These and the other changes may take effect from the beginning of next fasli.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to Government:

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposal, regarding the establishment to be retained in the Deputy Tahsildar's office, Hadagalli.

3. The Board sees no reason to treat the Hadagalli taluk as a special case and it adheres to the view expressed in its reference No. C.5185/31-7, dated 18t December 1931 that the effect may be given to the abolition from 15-1-1932.

pp 8-9 ante

V. Subramonia Rao
24 12 31
. for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,

with a proposition statement

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,

through the Accountant-General, Madras, with a proposition statement.

Proceedings of the Collector, Bellary.

A.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,

Ref. C.No. 5846/31-A-1, Dated 28th November 1931.

Read:- G.O. No. Mis. 2324 Revenue, dated 2-11-1931 ordering Hadagalli taluk to be reduced to a Sub Taluk after transferring some villages to the Hospet and Harpanahalli taluk;

2. Objection mahazar presented to the Collector at Hadagalli on 24-11-1931 and other objection petitions present to the Collector on tour;

i objections at Hirehadagalli and other places.

Order.

The Hadagalli taluk is to be reduced to a Sub Taluk for reasons of retrenchment, some villages going to Harpanahalli and others to Hospet. Final orders on details will be passed by Government after publicity has been given to the proposals and objections have been considered. Accordingly the Collector, on receipt of the Government orders, directed the proposals of the Divisional Officer to be tom tommed and a notice to be affixed in the chavadi of each village concerned and has also toured through the villages, and summoned meetings of village officers and ryots at Hirehadagalli, Itigi and Ballahunsi and has personally heard all objections.

2. The educated public of the taluk also waited upon him at Hadagalli and presented a mahazar petition a copy of which will be submitted to Government through the Board of Revenue. It is observed in the mahazar (i) that the Hospet and Harpanahalli taluk offices cannot undertake additional work; (ii) the taluk head-quarters will be too distant from some of the villages to the transferred, ryots having to travel 40-45 miles; (iii) the cultural advancement of Hadagalli taluk will be hindered;

It was also argued at the meetings that the Local Self

Have to ask

Government Ministry were likely to resile from the proposal to give Hadagalli a separate Taluk Board, for which the people have long been agitating, and this seemed to be felt as a strong objection.

3. Separate Taluk Board.

Under section 3 Clauses (a) and (b) of the Local Boards Act, Government have power to differentiate local fund and revenue taluks in special circumstances. The special circumstances exist in this case. It is recommended that the Local Self Government Ministry proceed with the proposals already formulated to constitute the existing Hadagalli taluk into a taluk board area. It is not advisable to modify the proposals so as to increase the area of the Harpanahalli and Hospet Taluks to coincide with the new revenue taluks. The Collector feels that the respectable and legitimate aspiration of the people of the old Hadagalli taluk to manage their own local affairs ought not to be disappointed. The principle is that those who pay the local rates should have the spending of them and there is always a danger that boards for large and arbitrarily fixed areas cannot be trusted to be fair to all the component parts.

4. Argument from over work of Hospet and Harpanahalli Taluk Offices.

This also is a legitimate and respectable argument. The Collector proposes to reduce the original proposals of the Divisional Officer to meet the objection. Hospet especially is already a heavy taluk. Very little can be added to it. The Collector proposes to add only 5 villages which are only 10-12 miles from Hospet by good metalled roads served by buses and only 4 miles from the new firk head-quarters, Narayanadevarakeri, by the same roads. The villages concerned are nearer to Hospet than to Hadagalli and between them and Hadagalli lies an unbridged river that

buses cannot cross when it is in flood. At the meeting held at Ballahunsi on 25th November 1931 the representatives of these villages declared themselves in favour of the transfer.

5. Harpanahalli taluk is large in area but not very heavy. The Tahsildar can manage a few more villages (1) near Harpanahalli (2) with good communications with Harpanahalli(3) with not too heavy a beriz. Itigi, a firka hea quarter town on the cross-roads with 7 villages near it, fulfils these conditions. At the meeting held at Itigi on 25th November 1931 the people of Itigi (which was not included in the Divisional Officer's proposals) and Mahajana-dahalli declared themselves in favour of the change, those of 6 other ~~ground~~ villages against it as an unnecessary innovation, but had no other ground of objection. The transfer of these 8 villages to Harpanahalli will accordingly be recommended.

6. It was proposed to transfer most of the villages of the Hirahadagalli firka, which are about equally distant from Hadagalli and Harpanahalli. It is to the westernmost villages in this firkathat the mahazar petition refers when it speaks of a distance of 40 to 45 miles. These villages are in ~~favour~~ fact about 20 miles from Hadagalli by metalled road and Harpanahalli is about another 20 miles further by metalled road. But the assumption that the people of Mailar, Holalu or Virapuram would have to make the great detour via. Hadagalli to reach Harpanahalli is incorrect. There is a direct road only 16 miles long instead of 40 from Holalu to Harpanahalli. This is not marked as a road on the maps but as a matter of fact 13 miles of it were put in good order out of famine funds at ~~once~~ one time and if little but the mile-stones are left of the work then done, this is immaterial since these tracks are no worse than the main roads in these parts. They run on gravel and red stone and the natural surface is better than that of the main roads which are maintained but not

Issue part II

32/

well maintained. The Collector. having explored part of the old famine road on a bicycle, is satisfied that the communications of the end villages of Hiranadagalli firka with Harpanahalli will be quite satisfactory besides being shorter than those with Hadagalli and the people of those parts agreed and welcomed the proposal to be attached to Harpanahalli for revenue purposes. They are already under the magisterial jurisdiction of Harpanahalli. It was suggested at the Hirahadagalli meeting that there were hills, jungle and wild beasts on the way to Harpanahalli; but this could not have been seriously meant. The road passes the hills through so-called forest, but it passes through a gap only half-a-mile long and 150 feet high.

7. At the Hirahadagalli meeting only the representatives f that place and some other villages adjoining it opposed the transfer of Hirahadagalli firka to Harpanahalli Hirahadagalli is only 9 miles from Hadagalli and has a bus service to that place. The Collector considers the objections valid and will not propose the transfer of the 6 villages nearest to Hadagalli. ~~He will propose the transfer of the 6 villages nearest to Hadagalli.~~ He will propose the transfer only of the remaining 16 villages of the firka. The head-quarters of the new firka may be Holalu, where there is a post office. Some 14 villages of the Teligi firka of Harpanahalli will be added to the Holalu firka, and the Harpanahalli firkas will be redistributed and continue to be with Holalur, four only. The Hadagalli Sub Taluk will hav only two firkas, Hadagalli and T ambrahalli. The Itigi and Hirehadagalli firkas will be abolished. The Revenue Divisional Officer will submit redistribution proposals for the firkas.

(Sd.)

for Collector.

To the Revenue Divisional Officer, Hospet, with a copy of the notification.

the Tahsr. of Harpanahalli, Hadagalli and Hospet with a co

To the Secretary, Board of Revenue, with C.L.

" the Secretary, Local Self Government Department with C.L.

" the Inspector of Local Boards with reference to his 35935 dated 17-11-1931.

Try. 7—4—11.

Code Form 5.

35

Statement of Proposition

(*See* Chapter

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Department. Number.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge. Present scale. Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average cost.	Proposed scale. Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.
1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.			Rs. A.	Rs.

Establishment of Hadagalli Pg office in the Bella
office

			1	Jahgirdar	200 -	10 -	300 -	261 2.	1	Pg Jahgirdar	150 -	5
			1	Head Accountant	100 -	- -	100 -	100 -	1	Head Accountant	100 -	-
			9	Clerks including Head Clerk.	35=35.	1 8 50 1 - 60		427 8. 4	Clerks		35=35.	1 1
			1	Spl Fund clerk	-	50 -		47 8	1	Spl Fund clerk	-	50
			1	Shroff	20 -	1 -	35 -	28 12	1	Shroff	20 -	1
			1	Duffadar	12 -	1 -	18 -	15 8	1	Duffadar	12 -	1
						2 -	2 -					
			1	Mochi	12 -	1 -	18 -	15 8	1	Mochi	12 -	1
			1	Attendar (Peon)	12 -	1 -	18 -	15 8	1	Attendar	12 -	1
			20	Peons	12 -	1 -	18	310 - 0		Peons	12 -	1
			1	Revenue Sheristadar - peishi	60 -	4 -	80 -	75 -	1	Revenue Sheristadar peishi	60 -	4
			3	Revenue Sheristadars	35=35	1 8 50 1 - 60		142 8	1	Revenue Sheristadar peishi	35=35	1 1
			40					1440.14 23				

A. Chari Est 6 Excise Of Excise Est Pay of Es

			1	Abkari clerk	25=35.	1 8 50		47 8	1	Abkari clerk	35=35	1 1

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganisation of establishment the Head of the Department should certify to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pens

191 .

To

The Secretary to Government,

thro' the Accountant-Gene

r Revision of Establishment.

T and A 10.

ticle 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

		Proposition.								Orders of the Government of India.			
		Permanent		Temporary.									
	Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Grounds of proposition. Order of the sanctioning authority	Local Government's recommendation.	Department.	Financial Department.		
Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.			Remarks.	Remarks.	Orders.	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	23	24	25	26	
Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.						
		The affected by its Concession into Independent Dy Tahsildars											
		General Administration E. Dy Adm Offices Est. 2q East											
	180-9		80-9										
	100												
	190		237-8										
	47-8												
	28 12												
	15-8												
	2												
18	15-8												
8	15 8												
8	155		155										
50	75												
50	47-8		95										
50													

Saved as p 49

in par

CURRENT No. 4.C 4.1.3

Received ..... 19 Registered 19

Subject.

JURISDICTION - (Bellary) - Divisions and Taluks - Redistribution - Siruguppa Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office - Abolition - Proposals - Draft notifications for the conversion of the Hagalli taluk into independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office - Submitted to Government.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Reference. C. No. 5185/31-7.

Station Madras, Dated the 18th December 1931

From A. R. MacEwen, Esq., M.C., I.C.S.,
Secretary,
Land Revenue and
~~The~~ Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

A. R. Cox Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read the following:

I.
Letter from A.M.A.C. Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A.1, dated 28th November 1931.

II.
Letter from A.M.A.C. Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A, dated 2nd December 1931.

Ref: My letter No.Ref.3724 dated 11-9-31.

In their Order No.1s.4900 dated 25th November 1931,

Government

Sub Magistrate, Sirugappa, but not of the establishment of the Court and no orders have been received on my proposal to appoin a Sheristadar Magistrate with the reduced magisterial establishment suggested in my letter referred to above.

2. Two proposition statements are enclosed for approval. It will be seen that I propose the conversion of the Taluk Head Accountant's post into a Taluk Sarishtadar's post. The reason is that the Taluk Magistrate will not be able to manage all the magisterial work in the busy revenue season. One magisterial clerk out of the two now working and the menial staff of the court will have to be retained for the magisterial work of the Taluk and Sarishtadar magistrates. The net reduction on the two proposition statements is Rs.108-1-0 per mensem.

335-3-0
127-4-0
108-1-0

3. It will be convenient if effect is given to the change on the 15th January 1932.

III.

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No. 4201 C/31-1, dated the 7th December 1931.

IV.

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31-A.1, dated 10th December 1931.

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.5846/31, dated 10th December 1931.

Ref: My letter No.C.5846 dated 2nd December 1931.

In para 3 of my letter referred to above I proposed that effect be given to the changes on 15-1-1932. I have since, in the interests of economy, kept vacant one of the clerk's post in the Stationary Sub Magistrate Office at Sirugappa. The rest

of the establishment is not working under the taluk magistrate and is necessary as a court cannot function without an establishment and the taluk clerks have no time to attend to this work.

2. The Accountant-General has now intimated to me that he has kept the pay of the establishment drawn after 1-9-31 under objection in the books of his office. A copy of his letter is enclosed. In their order No.3300 Jus. (General) dated 12-9-31 Government approved my action "in having effected the closure of the Sub Magistrate's Office" in anticipation of sanction and in their subsequent order No.Mis.4300 dated 19th November 1931, "they sanctioned the abolition of the office" the temporary closure of which had been ordered in the previous order. "Office" is used in both these orders of the office or post of Sub Magistrate. But the Accountant-General has taken "the Sub Magistrate's Office" to mean "the Sub Magistrate and his office." This of course was never meant and never suggested in the correspondence and could not have been meant as the court was still working though under a different presiding officer and a court cannot function without its establishment. The Accountant-General suggests I should get Government to ratify my action in retaining the establishment. I submit that no ratification is required as I was obviously right and the Accountant-General may be informed that his interpretation of the Government Orders is obviously wrong.

- - -

Copy of Accountant-General's Ref.No.Jus.2/713/ dated 5/7-12-31 to the Collector of Bellary.

Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa.

In G.O.No.3468 Law (General) dated 12-9-31 Government had ordered the temporary closure with effect from 1-9-31 of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa. Instead of closing down the whole establishment with effect from 1-9-31 the Sub Magistrate's post alone was abolished on 1-9-31 and pay has been drawn for the clerical and inferior establishments for September 1931 and October 1931. The interpretation of the Government Order is not correct, especially in the light of G.O. No.4308 Law (General) dated 25-11-31. It should be considered that the establishment has been continued without sanction after 1-9-31. The amounts are being kept under objection in the books of this office. Necessary steps may be taken to abolish the office forthwith and orders of Government may be obtained to regularise the irregularity

REFERENCE:-

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposal to increase the staff and pay of the Taluk Sarishtadar in the Sirugappa Taluk.

3. It is presumed that Government will notify the abolition of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office, Sirugappa, in due course.

4. The Board submits the draft notification regarding the conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into an independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office and supports the Collector's recommendation that the changes may be given effect to from 15th January 1932. Proposals regarding the staff in the proposed independent De-

of the Board and the proposition statement will be submitted shortly.

5. The Board requests that the orders of the Government may be communicated to the Collector direct.

For Secretary. 19-11-31

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with draft notifications, proposition statements of the Sirugappa Taluk, Copy of the Collector's proceedings and copy of petition.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, through the Accountant-General, with proposition statements.

S.T.13.12.

de Form 5.

43

Statement of Proposition

(*See* Chapter 5, A

Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.										
	Present scale.							Proposed scale.			
	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.		Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11
			Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs.				Rs. a.	Rs. a.
		22 General administration E of Administration									
		Establishment of the Sirsiguppa Taluk office Strengthened as									
	1	Jahsildar	200 -	10 -	300 -	261	2	1	Jahsildar	200 -	10 -
	1	Head accountant	100 -		100 -	100	-	1	Sq Shirastadar	150 80 -	
	9	Clerks	35-35	1 8	50 -	427	8	10	Clerks	35-35	1
				1 -	60 -						1
	1	Special fund clerk	- -	- -	- -	47	8	1	Special fund Clerk	- -	- -
	1	Shroff	20 -	1 -	35 -	25 19	12	1	Shroff	20 -	1
								1	Attender	20 -	1
	1	Duffadar	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Duffadar	12 -	1
						2 8 pl pay					
	1	Mucki	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Mucki	12 -	1
	1	Attender	12 -	1 -	18 -	15	8	1	Attender	12 -	1
	21	Peons	12 -	1 -	18 -	325	8	23	Peons	12 -	1
	2	Revenue Inspectors	60 -	4 -	80 -	150	-	2	Rev Inspectors	60 -	4
	2	Revenue Inspectors	35-35	1 8	50 -	- -		2	Rev Inspectors	35-35	1
				1 -	60 -	95	-				1
						483 14					
									Abkari Establishment		
	1	Abkari clerk	35-35	1 8	50 -	47	8	1	Abkari clerk	35-35	
				1 -	60 -						

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should conform to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pens

Dated

To

The Secretary to Government,

T and A 10

Revision of Establishment.

(B 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

		Proposition.						Order sanctioning authority.
		Permanent		Temporary.				
	Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.	
Other Est: To Est voted Pay of Est Permanent: ——— Lumps 711								
ing & the abolition of the Subordinate Officer sanctioned in G.O. No 4308 Laws 1921								
80 -	261 2	— —						
100	100							
~~120~~ -	~~120~~ -	~~30~~ —	✓	pbank				
50 -	475 -	47 8	✓					
60 -								
— -	47 8	— —						Vide p. 2607 (B)
6 -	28 12							
5 -	28 12	~~28~~ 29 —	~~12~~ ✓					
8 -	15 8	— —						
29/6 pay								
8 -	15 8	— —						
8 -	15 8	— —						
8 -	356 8	31 —	✓	pbank				
80 -	150 -	— —						
—								
-	95 -							
	1611 2	127 4						
0 -	47 8	— —						
0 -								

Claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with refere
ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will b
written across these four columns.

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

:cde Form 5.

45

Statement of Proposition

(*See* Chapter 5,

Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.									
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.			
	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
Establishment of Shj Submagistrate's Sheristadar owing to the Administration of justice Criminal Courts &c										
	1	Shj Submagistrati	150 -	5 -	200 -	180 9				
	2	Clerks	35 35	1 8	50 -	95 -				
				1 -	60 -					
	1	Bhisti	20 -	1 -	35 -	29 12				
	2	Peons	12 -	1 -	18 -	31 -				
	6					335 5				

Nic

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganisation of establishment the Head of the Department should certify t
to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pen

Dated 191 .

To

The Secretary to Government,

thro' the Accountant-Gener

r Revision of Establishment.

T and A 10

icle 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

		Proposition.						
		Permanent		Temporary.				
	Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Order sanctioning authority.
Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.	
Clerical Sanctioned in G.O. No.								(N) 6308 25.XI.31.
Permanent and Temporary Establishment								Pay of Establishment.
			180 9					
			95 -					
			29 -					
			28 12					
			31 -					
			~~28 12~~					

me to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference
rily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature

Officer's Designation

Rv 9C
9 4132

No. T.2-Bell.584. OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,
(T. A. & I. Section).
Fort St. George, 24th December *1931*

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
27 DEC 1931
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Enclosures.
Five

Forwarded with compliments.

2. The entries in the column "Present Scale" of the Proposition Statements enclosed have been checked with the Registers of this office and are correct.

3. There is no audit objection to the proposal being sanctioned by Government.

for Deputy Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

Statement of Proposition fo

(*See* Chapter 5, Ar

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of / Department. Number.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.											
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.					
			Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.		
1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
					Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.			Rs. A.	Rs. A.		
				Establishment of Hadagalli Tq office in the Belka										
										Office 2				
			1	Jahsildar	200	10	300	261 2	1	Sh Jahsildar	150	5		
			1	Head Account ant	100	—	100	100	1	Head Accountant	60	4		
			9	Clerks including Head clerk	35	35	1 8 50 / 1 60	427 8	4	Clerks	35	35	1	
			1	Spl Fund clerk	50	—		47 8	1	Spl Fund clerk	50	—		
			1	Shroff	20	1	35	26 12	1	Shroff	20	1		
			1	Duffadar	12	1	18	15 8	1	Duffadar	12	1		
			1	Mucki	12	1	18	15 8	1	Mucki	12	1		
			1	Attendant (Peon)	12	1	18	15 8	1	Attendant	12	1		
			20	Peons	12	1	18	310	10	Peons	12	1		
			1	Revenue Sheristadar	60	4	80	75	1	Revenue Sheristadar	60	4		
			3	Revenue Sheristadar	35	35	1 8 50 / 1 60	142 8	1	Revenue Sheristadar	35	35	1	8
			4						2				1	—
			40					1440 14 2 / 1441 2	25					
				Abkari Est. 6 Excise Sh						Excise Est. Pay 58				
			1	Abkari clerk	35	35	1 8 50 / 1 60	47 8	1	Abkari clerk	35	35	1	8
													1	—
			14	Excise					7	Clerks				

2492

Dated 191 .

To

The Secretary to Government,

and the Accountant-Gen

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganisation of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that the provisions of art. 489 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pensio

Revision of Establishment

Average cost.	Permanent		Temporary.				Grounds of proposition.	Government's recommendation.	Orders of the Government of India.		
	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.				Department.	Financial Department.	
	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.			Remarks.	Remarks.	Orders.
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	23	24	25	26

Orders of the Sanctioning authority

[illegible] by the succession [illegible] Mr. Dr. Schaidler

[illegible] Administration [illegible] Other [illegible]

as to pension [illegible] may arise in consequence have been considered with reference [illegible] rily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

partment.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature

Designation

No T2.Sel.585

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,
T. A. D. 2 Section.
Fort St. George, 8th January 1932.

Enclosures. 6 sheets

Forwarded with compliments.

2. The entries in the column "Present scale" of the proposition statement have been checked with the registers of this office and are correct.

3. There is no audit objection to the proposal being sanctioned by Government.

9/1/32

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Secretary
to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

PKS

53

C

No 4201/C
5-12-31

- Jurisdiction — (Bellary) — Hadagalli taluk — conversion into a subtaluk — Proposition statement and draft notification called for. (CODE 101)

Fo. No 2324, Rev dt 2.11.1931.

(B)

TOUS.

The draft notification and proposition statement called for in para 2 of fo. no 2324, Rev dt 2.11.1931 have not yet been received. With reference (B) to the (underlined) orders at p 51 of the fo. a draft (B) on reminder to the Board of Revenue is submitted for approval.

5-12-31.

5/12/31

7.12.31

Issued as G.O. No 4201-1 dt 7.12

fortnightl

Extract from the letter of the Collector of Bellary, dated the 12th December 1931.



xx

Press:- The Hindu of the 9th has a long letter by a "Ryot" (really a Bellary vakil) who says "Government has succumbed to the unconstitutional recommendations of the local authorities" on the partition of Hadagalli Taluk and accuses Government of precipitancy. I do not myself think effect can be given immediately to my scheme; for

(1) the procedure in B.S.O.201 should be followed;

(2) I cannot retrench a Tahsildar without 3 months notice with reference to C.S.R.436,

(3) I cannot have the Jamabandi of 3 taluks ~~xxxx~~ dislocated by transfer of villages before Jamabandi is over.

Therefore the change cannot be brought into effect before May and meanwhile the "Ryot" and his friends may be allowed to send in objections and hold meetings and pass resolutions. I have already held meetings and considered all objections and my scheme is sound enough. However it will only save Rs 500 a month and so little will be lost by its necessary postponement for 3 or 4 months and that will give time for the agitation against it to exhaust itself.

My large scheme for the partition of Anantapur between the neighbouring districts goes before a Collectors' Conference -day. That would save two or three lakhs a year in perpetuity nd is really worth while and I don't think there will be any gitation against it except by a few Anantapur vakils. Mahanti ys the Collector of Anantapur only has half a day's work and ntirely agrees that his Collectorate is a fit object for re-enchment.

xx xx

Scarcity. In Bellary taluk and parts of Alur, Rayadrug nd Siruguppa the crops have failed or will fail and I have been ing round on famine duty and have asked the District Board to art two famine roads in the parts worst affected. Government ll have to find the funds as the District Board has none. The

estimates are Rs.50,000 and Rs 25.000. Also revenue suspended in previous years (3 lakhs) cannot be collected and I am afraid 1½ lakhs more at least will have to be suspended. The situation is serious and migration of the agricultural population in search of work elsewhere has begun.

Public (General) Departm

Submitted that extract of portion marked above may be sent to Revenue immediately.

C.F.V.W.
14/12/31.

3rd:

Submitted for perusal. The G.O. Converting the Hadagalli taluk into a deputy tahsildari is put up in a L.C.Q.
.12.31. file sent to P.W & L Dept for ~~remarks~~ answering Certain clauses of the Q^n

-/12/-
62)

C.S.R. 4.36 speaks of "discharge" & the case effects of converting a taluk into a Sub taluk is that- aching Tal^k is reverted as Dy Tal^k

D.O.

Collector's Office, Bellary,

Dated 17th December 1931.

Dear Thorne,

Reduction - Hadagalli Taluk to a sub-taluk.

I have sent up a proposition statement and draft notification as the Board insists but I must request Government to give the matter the most careful consideration before passing final orders, and anyway I cannot possibly give effect immediately to any orders that may be passed. The records of the villages to be transferred to Hospet and Harpanahalli taluks have to be separated and, unless Government sanction a special establishment for this, it will take time. If the Hadagalli taluk establishment is to do it I cannot possibly reduce that establishment till it is done. Further I cannot reduce the establishment till Jamabandi is over and it would be most inconvenient and dislocate Jamabandi entirely to make the transfer of villages, reduction of Revenue Inspectors, reduction of clerks, before Jamabandi is over. In fact no Collector worth his salt would agree to do so. The scheme cannot possibly be introduced before 1st May at the very earliest.

The scheme is also a bad one even from the point of view of retrenchment. It will be false economy or no economy. Hospet and Harpanahalli taluks will become too heavy; even now land revenue and exise are collected with difficulty and there are always arrears The result will be larger and larger arrears, which will more than swallow up the paper economy of Rs. 7000 a year.

Further the scheme is unpopular and there is an agitation against it. Government will be sacrificing efficiency and popularity for probably illusory and at the

best

best, insignificant, gain. I strongly advise Government to drop it.

My conference for the retrenchment of one Collectorate, 2 divisions, District Board, Treasury, police charge etc., etc., in the Ceded Districts was held on the 12th. We were all of opinion that it was perfectly feasible. And this is really worth while, the savings, direct and indirect, will run into lakhs in perpetuity. The public men here are all in favour of it. A few vakils at Anantapur will protest and that is all.

Mr. Bazlullah (former Collr both of Bellary & Cuddapah who is staying with me has read this and authorises me to say that he entirely agrees.

Yours sincerely.

J.A. Thorne Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department,
Madras.

Copy to the Secretary to the Commissioners. Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

[C.R.No. 4427 C/31] From the Bdg Rw (L&B) Dept
pp 2-9 cf. C. No. 5185/31-7 & 18-12-31.

pp 5-28 cf. [C.R.No. 21 C/32] —do— Ref. No. 5185/31-8
& 23-12-31.

p 47 cf. [C.R.No. 9 C/32] From the Ag No. T.2. Bellary
& 24-12-31.

Tours.—

pp 43-45 cf. The propositn statement
relating to the abolition of the S.S.M.'s
office at Siriguppa has been received
This has to be sanctioned in the Laws (Genl) Dept
this understood that through the Secth. Genl.] The propo-
a letter Rec to issue sitn statement regarding the staff
orders. to be employed in the Dy. Tahsr's office
p 47 cf. Hadagalli has not yet been receiv
This has to be sanctioned in Rev.
p 35 cf. from the Secth. Genl.] Its receipt
may be awaited.

29
6/31

D
5.1.32
Sn
5/1/32

[C.R.No. 215 C/32] From the Ag. No. T.
p 51 C.F. Bell. 585 & 8/9-1-1932.

With reference to G.O. No. 4308, Law (Genl) dt. 25.11.1931 and para 2 of G.O. No. 2324, Revenue dt. 2.11.1931 the Board of Revenue has submitted draft notifications for the conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into a subtaluk and proposition statements for the abolition of the post of Stationary Sub Magistrate, Sirigupppa and the reduction of the Hadagalle taluk into a subtaluk. The Board has suggested that the changes may be given effect to from 15.1.1932. As the Addl. Genl's Report was received only on the 11th January and as the file has to go to the Law & Fin Depts. it is for orders whether the changes may have effect from 1.2.1932.

2. As regards the increase in the staff of the Sirigiuppa taluk office the Board supports the Collector's proposal as in the proposition Statement dtd 43 C.F. This may be approved.

The Board has

para 2, p 22 c.f. the Collector's proposal regarding the establishment of the relaxies in the Dy. Tahsildar's office, Hadagalli as in the proposition stated at p 49 c.f. This may be accepted.

3. Reference is solicited to Mr. Galletti's D.O. at p 5-6 ante and his letter at p 27 c.f. stating that effect cannot be given to the changes till next fasli. The Board sees no reason to treat the Hadagalli taluk as a special case and adheres to the view expressed in its reference at p 8 c.f. that effect may be given from 15-1-1932. For the reasons stated in para 1 at p 8 ante it has been suggested that effect may be given from 1-2-1932.

4. With reference to para 3 of the Board's Rifce at p 8 c.f. the Law Deptt. may be requested to ~~post~~ a draft notification.

5. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Law (Drafting) Deptt. for drafting of the notifications and the H.S.G., Law (G.L.) and Finance Depts. for.

As regards the date, Mr. Gallotti holds that a change cannot be introduced till next April. No other Cells has made similar difficulties: and I think his attitude was attributed to the disapproval of the Govt.'s action, in accepting a proposal which did not emanate from him. Tel. it that a possible date of orders can be passed quickly. The file shd. be treated as special.

PS:

Reminder 4201 C/81
18.7.82
SO

Law (Drafting)
L.S.G
Law (Gen.)
Fin.

Law (Drafting)

The notifications at pages and 12 c.f. are all in order. no remarks.

K.V.R.
4/1

order may be accepted in this Deptt and the file passed on to Law (Genl)

15-1-32.

15/1

15/1

15/1

Law (Genl) Dept.

A.S.

The draft order may be accepted.

2. Please see paragraph 3 of the Board's reference on p 8 cf. and paragraph 4 of the Revenue Dept. note on page 9 ante,, regarding the issue of a notification abolishing the stationary Sub Magistrate's Office at Sirugupa. It is not known why the issue of a notification is considered necessary by the Board, and no notification abolishing a stationary Sub Magistrate's office is readily traceable. Since the file has to treated as special, necessary extracts from the current and notes may be taken and the question of issuing the notification (which is not very emergent) considered separately in consultation with the Board of Revenue. The file may be passed on t

L. S.
16/2-1 A2 Law
15-1-32

Rec'd at 3-35

15/1

the words "in due course" e Board's reference on 1 Bcf This should be ted as urgent and e

CA
1671

Yard: 270A
#16

16/1/32

Fin



1970

1 | The Government have approved the proposal of the Board of Revenue:-

(1) to convert the Hadagalli taluk into an independent Dy.Tahsildar's charge; and

(2) to abolish the Stationary Sub Magistrate at Siruguppa.

The Board has now forwarded the necessary proposition statements indicating the alterations in the staff due to

(a) the abolition of the Stationery Sub Magistrate at Siruguppa and strengthening the taluk office at Siruguppa; and

(b) the conversion of the Hadagalli Taluk into an independent Dy. Tahsildar's Charge.

2. Item (a) above. The Board's proposal is to increase the pay of the Taluk Head Accountant and make him a Sarishtadar Magistrate and to retain 1 clerk 1 attender and 2 peons of the Stationary Sub Magistrate, Siruguppa to deal with the magisterial work.

The net financial effect of the proposal is as shown below:-

As at present	Cost pm Rs. a. p	As proposed	cost p Rs.
1. Stationary Sub.Magistrate 150-5-200	180 9 0	Nil	
2 clerks 35-60 ave = 47½	95 0 0	1 clerk(35-60)	47½
1 attender 20-35	29 0 0	1 attender(20-35)	29
2 peons 12-18	31 0 0	2 peons (12-18)	31
Taluk Head Accountant	100 0 0	Taluk sarishtadar	120
	435 9 0		227½

Net saving = Rs 208 or Rs 2,496 per annum

3 It is stated that the Taluk Magistrate will not be able to manage all the magisterial work in the busy revenue season, and that 1 clerk and the menial staff of the Stationary Sub magistrate will have to be retained for the magisterial work

of the Taluk and Sarishtadar magistra...

Submitted that the Board's proposals may be accepted subject to the remark that the pay of the Taluk Sarishtadar be fixed at Rs 100/- which is the pay allowed in similar cases. | see p. 160 of Acc CRS 1930-31.

4. The Accountant General appears to have held under objection the pay drawn for the staff of the Stationary Sub Magistrate, Siruguppa working under the Taluk magistrate since 1-9-1931. Revenue may be requested to add a paragraph authorising the retention of the staff from 1-9-1931. | para 2 on p 7 CF B

5. The following statement compares the staff proposed for the Independent Dy. Tahsildar, Hadagalli and the staff recently sanctioned for the independent Dy. Tahsildar at Chintalapudi. | G.O. No 39 Rev 6-1-32 p 49 CF

	Staff proposed for Hadagalli	Staff sanctioned for Chintalapudi
Head Accountant	1(100)	1(60-4-80)
Revenue Inspector clerks	1(60-4-80) 1(35-60)	1(60-4-80) 2(35-60)
Clerks	4(35-60)	4(35-60)
Special Fund clerk	1(35-60)	1(35-60)
Local Fund clerk	Nil	1(35-60)
Abkari clerk	1(35-60)	1(35-60)
Shroff	1(20-35)	1(20-35)
Muchi	1(12-18)	1(12-18)
Attender	1(12-18)	1(12-18)
Duffadar	1(12-18)	1(12-18)
Peons	10(12-15)	9(12-15)

It is [illegible]ed that the scale of establishments proposed may be accepted subject to the following remarks:-

(1) Head Accountant . His pay may be fixed at Rs 60-4-80. The R.D.O. Hospet when recommending the conversion of the taluk into a sub taluk asked for only 1 Accountant on Rs 60-4-80. | C P

(2) Peons. Chintalapudi with 3 Revenue Inspectors has only 9 peons. For Hadagalli with only 2 Revenue Inspector 8 peons would be sufficient.

p.9 of (B)
22 ibid.

The R.D.O. Hospet originally asked only for 8 peons.

6. The draft order may be accepted subject to the remarks at A, B & C above, and the case returned to the Revenue department. The sanction has been registered as No.193 of 1931-32.



18/1/32
Rev.

, no 197082/1
19.1.32

Rev. Dept

Tours.

Please see the notes of the Law, L.Sg + Fin Depts appd 10-above.

2. The Finance Deptt recommend that the pay of the Talukh sarishtadar in the Sirsi Taluk may be fixed at Rs100/- which is the rate of pay allowed in similar cases instead of Rs 120/- The proposition statement appd 43 cf. has been amended accordingly.

3. The Fin. Deptt suggest that the pay of the Head Accountant of

44

Independent Deputy Tahsildar's Office, Hadagalli may be fixed at Rs 60-4-80 instead of Rs 100/- as proposed by the Collector and supported by the Board of Revenue. Nad deptt has also suggested that the number of peons in the office may be reduced from 10 to 8. The proposed statement has been amended accordingly

para 5(1), p 14 and Vide proposal at p 49

para 2, p 28 C

para 5(2). p 14 ante

p 49 C

Reference to para 4 of the Fin Deptt. note at p 14 ante a para has been added to the revised draft order which is submitted for approval.

19.1.32
S.

19.1.32

19/1

19.1.32

Submitted
19/1
Secy. 19.1.32.

Issued
19/1.

Scott. II-5 (a)

Rev DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

32/2071

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 2324 Rev, dated 2-11-1931.

" " " 4308 Lawfund) 25-11-1931.

READ ALSO :—

G.O. No. 4201 c/31 From the Bd of Rev. (L.R.+S.) Ref No. C. 5185/31-7, dated 18-12-1931.

c.f.

" " — do — " C. 5185/31-8 " 23-12-1931.

347 c.f. " " A.G. T-2-Bell. 584 " 24-12-1931

" " 585 " " " T-2 " 585 " 8/9-1-1932.

5.5 not wanted

ORDER.

19/1/32

Ms.

close on 25/1/32

X Pp 43, 45 + 49 c.f. on 19/1/32

No. 144, dated 20/1/32 19.

The proposition statements submitted by the Board of Revenue are appd as amended. This saneel has been registered as No. 193 of 1931-32.

2. Separate orders issue in the Law Depth. notifying the abolition of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's offs Srirangapatna.

3. The action of the collector of Bellary in having retained the estab

nab of 7m Nov mp 15 N.F.

p 11 N.F

para 4, p. 14 N.F

of the Stationary Sub Magistrate, Cuddapah, after the 1st September 1931, is approved.

4. The appended* notifications will be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette and in the Bellary Dt. Gazette.

* Pp 11–12 C.F.

To

Dr. Bd. of Rev. (L.R.S.)
" A.S. (T.2 section) thro' Finance
" Fin. deptt.
" Collr. of Bellary
} with proc. & Statts.

" S.G.P. (Para 4 only for publication of notifications)

Copy to all other deptts of the Secty

" the Insp. of Local Boards & Municipalities.

19.1.32

19.1.32

1132